



### **Indicators of Ecological Change**

#### Virginia H. Dale, P.I., Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Kelly Maloney, Auburn University

A SERDP Ecosystem Management Project (SEMP) being implemented at Fort Benning, GA

SEMP Technical Advisory Committee Meeting September 2004



### **Participants**



- Jack Feminella and Kelly Maloney, Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University — Stream macroinvertebrates
- Thomas Foster, Anthropology Department, Pennsylvania State University — Historical land cover
- Patrick Mulholland, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory — Aquatic ecology
- Lisa Olsen, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory — Geographic information and landscape analysis
- David White, Aaron Peacock, and Sarah McNaughton, Center for Environmental Technology, University of Tennessee — Soil microbiology
- Sharon Hermann and Dan Wyrick, Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University — Terrestrial indicators
- Virginia Dale and Dan Druckenbrod, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory — Terrestrial and landscape indicators, integration







- 1) To identify indicators that signal ecological change in intensely versus lightly used ecological systems.
- 2) To ensure that these indicators are feasible for the installation staff to measure and interpret and thus can become a part of the ongoing monitoring system at the installation.









- (a) Determine criteria for indicator selection \*
- (b) Analyze historical trends in environmental changes to identify potential indicators;
- (c) Collect supplemental data relating to proposed indicators (building upon existing data);
- (d) Perform experiments to examine how training affects indicators;
- (e) Analyze resulting set of indicators for appropriateness, usefulness, and ease of taking the measure;
- (f) Develop and implement a technology transfer plan.
- \* Dale, V.H. and Beyeler, S.C. 2001. Challenges in the development and use of ecological indicators. Ecological Indicators 1: 3-10.

#### Hypothesis: There is a suite of ecological indicators



#### **Spatial Scale**

Dale, V H., Mulholland, P., Olsen, L. M., Feminella, J., Maloney, K., White, D. C., Peacock, A., Foster, T. 2004. "Selecting a Suite of Ecological Indicators for Resource Management," Pages 3-17 in *Landscape Ecology and Wildlife Habitat Evaluation: Critical Information for Ecological Risk Assessment, Land-Use Management Activities and Biodiversity Enhancement Practices,* **ASTM STP 11813**, L. A. Kapustka, H. Gilbraith, M. Luxon, and G. R. Biddinger, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2004.





### Landscape Approach

# What metrics best describe changes in patterns for the entire Fort Benning area?

### Landscape Analyses: Major Results

- Vegetation maps developed from different data sources can be compared
- Changes over time occurred in the vegetation at Forest Benning
  - 1827 to 1974
    - Percent cover of forest declined
    - Pine coverage decreased
    - Increase in deciduous, mixed and nonforest cover
  - 1974 to 1999
    - Gradual increase in the nonforest vegetation (esp. on slopes)
    - Decline in cover of forest vegetation
      - Increase in pine cover
      - Decrease in deciduous cover

ESRI proceedings: http://www.esri.com/library/userconf/proc01/professional/papers/pap765/p765.htm

Olsen, L.M., Washington-Allen, R.A, and V.H. Dale. In prep. Using Landscape Metrics to Detect Changes over 173 Years at Fort Benning, GA, USA. **GIScience and Remote Sensing** 

#### **Fort Benning Historical Vegetation – 1827**





Human Ecology 32 (1)





# The most useful metrics for distinguishing changes in land cover classes at Fort Benning:

- Percent cover
- Total edge (with border)
- Number of patches
- Mean patch area
- Patch area range
- CV of patch area
- Perimeter area ratio
- Euclidean nearest neighbor distance
- Clumpiness

#### [Choice of metric depends on question]

Dale, VH., Olsen, L.M. and H.T. Foster. In review. Landscape patterns as indicators of ecological change at Fort Benning, GA.Journal: Land Use and Urban Planning



Terrestrial Indicators: Sampled vegetation and soil microbes in five discrete land-use types (based on observations and experience of Fort Benning staff)

- Reference
- Ground infantry —





- Marginal to tracked vehicles use
- Recent tracked vehicle use
- Restored to plantation →





### **Terrestrial Indicators**

- Overstory
  - Maximum tree age
  - Canopy cover
- Understory
  - Percent cover by life form
  - Percent cover by plant family
- Soils
  - Depth of A horizon
  - Soil microbial attributes (as determined by lipid biomarker analyses)
    - Biomass
    - composition





Dale, V.H., Beyeler, S.C., and Jackson, B. 2002. Understory indicators of anthropogenic disturbance in longleaf pine forests at Fort Benning, Georgia, USA. **Ecological Indicators** 1(3): 155-170.





### **Transfer of Information**

#### Plant Families and Life Forms Seen in the Fort Benning Area: A Field Guide



#### Field guide delivered to Fort Benning Resource Managers. 2002.

Analysis of soil microbial community structure and biomass under different military uses



#### **Microbial Biomass PLFA**



- Easy collection of soil cores
- •Ship frozen
- •Base or commercial laboratory for analysis
- Potential for monitoring changesReadily interpretable

Peacock, A.D., S.J. MacNaughton, J.M. Cantu, V.H. Dale, D. C. White. 2001. Soil microbial biomass and community composition along an anthropogenic disturbance gradient within a longleaf pine habitat.

Ecological Indicators 1(2):113-121.



Non parametric approach and parametric approach can be used to classify new information.

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Parametric approach

Interpretation guide and model will be provided to resource managers that will calculate distance relationships for new microbial data.

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **K11 Experimental Methods:**

- Understory surveyed with Braun-Blanquet percent cover scale in June 2003, Sept 2003, and June 2004
- Raunkiaer (1934) Lifeforms used as Functional Types
- % cover transformed using arcsine square-root
- Repeated-Measures ANOVA with SPSS 12.0 GLM

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### June 2003

#### September 2003

# June 2004

Photos by K. Maloney and D. Druckenbrod

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

	F statistic	P-value*
Treatment	6.45	0.014
Time	131.97	<0.001
Linear	209.70	<0.001
Quadratic	44.09	<0.001
Treatment•Time	27.17	<0.001
Linear	37.30	<0.001
Quadratic	15.74	<0.001

\* G-G adjustment to df's

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

	F statistic	P-value*
Treatment	8.12	0.006
Time	30.18	<0.001
Linear	41.25	<0.001
Quadratic	3.78	0.057
Treatment•Time	0.66	0.488
Linear	0.84	0.363
Quadratic	0.21	0.650

\* G-G adjustment to df's

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Research Conclusions**

- Total cover initially reduced; however, returns to control levels within growing season
- Phanerophytes and Cryptophytes account for majority of understory cover for both control and treatment
- Phanerophytes display reduced cover through time
- Chamaephytes increase cover through time but not impacted by tracked-vehicle disturbance
- Hemicryptophyte, Cryptophyte, and Therophyte cover may be promoted through time by tracked-vehicle disturbance

Dale,V.H, Druckenbrod, D., Baskaran, L., Aldridge, M., Berry, M., Garten, C., Olsen, L., Efroymson, R., and Washington-Allen, R. In review. Vehicle impacts on the environment at different spatial scales: Observations in west central Georgia. Journal of Terramechanics.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Management Implications**

- Phanerophytes readily serve as an indicator of understory structure and cover that are reduced by tracked-vehicle activity
- Hemicryptophytes, Cryptophytes, and Therophytes may also serve as an indicator that are promoted by trackedvehicle activity
- These functional types meet most of the criteria ideally associated with indicators (easily measured, sensitive, anticipatory, etc.)
- These indicators nest into the broader suite of indicators across spatial scales being developed at Fort Benning

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Technical Approach: Stream Studies

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

Land-use gradient analysis (land-use intensity quantified at catchment scale as % land denuded)

#### Disturbance intensity defined as the sum of: % bare ground on slopes > 3% % road coverage

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Disturbance classes: Ref. – K11W, D13, K13 (1.8 – 3.7%) Low – K11E, F4, F3 (4.6 – 8.1%) High – D12, F1E, K20,

### **Study Site**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Improving Mission Readiness Through Environmental Research

### Part I: Potential stream indicators of land use at Fort Benning?

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

Water Chemistry – 12 streams 2000 – 2002 grab samples ~ 6 times per year DOC and pH

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

coarse woody debris & streambed organic matter – 12 streams measured in 2002 and 2003

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Flashiness (9 streams)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

# 9 streams measured in Jan. and July 2003

Stability estimated as absolute difference in height between 2 periods

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

Maloney, K.O., J.W. Feminella, P.L. Chaney, A. Abebe. In review. Comparison of three methods to quantify the effects of catchment land use on streambed stability. Journal of the American Water Resources Association.

Macroinvertebrates – 7 streams sampled seasonally 2000-2002

4 Hester-Dendy sites (3 H-D units per site)2 D-frame sweep nets

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Fish – 8 streams sampled seasonally in 2003

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Semotilus thoreauianus Patrick O'Neil

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Stream Chemistry (baseflow)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

# With increasing disturbance level:

- Inorganic suspended sediment concentrations increase
- pH increases
- Soluble reactive P and DOC decline
- Some evidence that NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations increase

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

Maloney, K.O., P.J. Mulholland, J.W. Feminella. In press. Influence of catchment-scale military land use on physicochemical conditions in small Southeastern Plains streams (USA). Environmental Management.

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Macroinvertebrates

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

% catchment as bare ground and unpaved roads

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

Environmental Research

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

Maloney, K.O., Richard M. Mitchell and J.W. Feminella. In review. Influence of catchment disturbance from military training on fish assemblages in small southeastern headwater streams. **Ecology of Freshwater Fish**.

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Part II: Are small streams influenced more by historic than contemporary land use?

### Historic land use (pre-1942)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

FLOYD COLLWTY Workers at a savenall in the tamber near Rome, ca. 1909. The owner of the mill, Ben Camp, in the man standing near the saw in far left. (110-167)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Military land use

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

A RIVER IS CROSSED. The two biggest demonstrations seen by OC's are the battalion in attack and the river crossing, shown here in part.

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

FIRING 37 MILLIMETER service ammunition in anti-tank instruction of Bickford Range.

### Contemporary land use

#### Selective Harvest

#### Roads

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

Controlled Burn

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Land use: Aerial Photography (1944) Landsat Thematic Imagery (1999).

Proportion of land on slopes > 3% for each time period as:

- bare ground and unpaved road cover (BG)
- non-forested (fields)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Proportion of Catchment Disturbed**

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

and unpaved roads

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

Number of EPT Taxa

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

Proportion of catchment as bare ground and unpaved roads

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

and unpaved roads

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

Number Fish Taxa

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Ecosystem Process: Stream Metabolism**

Ī

Ō

8

0 2002

 $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 

Summer

12

10

14

16

- 2002 only O 2003

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Respiration rates decline with increasing disturbance level

• GPP rates are very low and show little effect of disturbance

Mulholland, P. J., J. N. Houser, and K. O. Maloney. Stream diurnal dissolved oxygen profiles as indicators of in-stream metabolism and disturbance effects: Fort Benning as a case study. Ecological Indicators.

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

- **DOC** and **pH** 
  - weak indicators
  - best explained by contemporary land use
- Stream physical habitat:
  - CWD, BPOM, Flashiness: good indicators and best explained by contemporary land use
  - **Stability**: weak indicator, explained by historic land use

#### • Macroinvertebrates:

- EPT: good indicator, explained by historic land use
- Chironomidae richness and GASCI: strong indicators and no legacy effect
- Fish:
  - Assemblage metrics: poor indicators, related to historic land use.
  - Population metrics: good indicators, both sensitive and tolerant populations related to contemporary land use

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Submitted Data to the SEMP Data Repository

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Landscape Data
- Understory Vegetation
- Microbial Community
- Benthic Invertebrates
- Storm Chemistry Data

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

Historical and Current Land Cover

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_12.jpeg)

Welcome to the SEMP Data Repository. This is a U.S. Government site to support scientists in their research efforts within the DOD, FPA, and DOE. Visiting and browsing this site is restricted to the group within the aforementioned U.S. government agencies and their affiliates.

\* Click here to download a copy of the SEMP Data Repository User's Manual

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Contributions to Other SEMP Projects**

- Criteria for selecting a suite of indicators
- Protocol for selecting indicators
- Data from our studies on indicators: terrestrial, stream, and soil microbes
- Historical vegetation map
- Disturbance experiment

### **Coordination with Other SEMP Projects**

- Baseline information provided by ECMI and LCTA

- Co-location of sampling sites and sharing of data with other SEMP projects

- Storm hydrological chemistry
- Stream macroinvertebrates
- Soil samples
- A comprehensive picture of changes in microbial community structure

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Publications:**

*Journal*: 8 published or in press and 7 in review *Book chapter*: 1 *Other*: 3

#### **Presentations:**

32 Professional meetings – for example: Alabama Water Resources Conference American Society of Testing and Materials (plenary) Ecological Society of America (symposia) Ecological Society of Germany, Switzerland and Austria (plenary) American Society of Agronomy (symposium) **DoD Conservation Conference** Geological Society of America (symposium) International Association of Landscape Ecology Integrating the Science (Plenary) North American Benthological Society Southern Forestry and Natural Resource Management GIS Conference Society of American Archaeology Ecology Interactions in the Microbial World (symposium) The World Conservation Union (IUCN) workshop 8 Other meetings

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Co-Chair NEON workshop on land use and habitat alteration, August 2004
- Co-Chair NEON workshop on climate change, August 2004
- Member, Science Advisory Committee of the US Department of Interior Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center, 2000-2004
- Member: National Academy Committee on Ecological Impacts of Road Density, 2001-2004
- Environmental Protection Agency Scientific Advisory Board
  - Ecological Processes and Effects Committee-Chair 2002-2004
  - Chair of review panel of EPA's report on the Environment, 2004
- Advisory Committee and participant in workshop and symposium on "Land Use in Rural America" 2001-2003 \*
- Scientific Review Committee for The Nature Conservancy, 2000-2001
- Sustainable Biosphere Initiative, Chair of Advisory Committee for the Land Use Initiative, 1999-2000

\* Theobald, D.M., T. Spies, J. Kline, B. Maxwell, N. T. Hobbs, V. H. Dale. In review.
Ecological support for rural land-use planning and policy. Ecological Applications.
Dale, V. H., S. Archer, M. Chang, and D. Ojima. In press. Ecological impacts and mitigation strategies for rural land management. Ecological Applications.

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Complete data analysis
- Submit scientific papers
- Debriefing for Fort Benning resource managers
- Poster at SERDP Symposium
- Lessons learned from the application of experimental disturbances
- Final report
  - clear definition and descriptions of each indicator
  - sampling protocols
  - laboratory protocols
  - data analysis and interpretation guidelines
- Testing of indicators
  - DMPRC proposal (submitted February 2004)
  - Fort Bragg Fall Line proposal (revised version submitted Sept 2004)